



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/616,836	-	07/09/2003	Hisham M. Qureshi	H0003738	2676		
128	7590	09/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HONEY	WELL IN	TERNATIONAL II	ZANELLI, N	ZANELLI, MICHAEL J			
101 COL P O BOX	UMBIA RO 2245	DAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MORRIS	TOWN, N	J 07962-2245	3661				
					DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•				
(Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)		i)			
			5,836	QURESHI, HISHAM M.				
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit				
			l J. Zanelli	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) opened for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the surfacy period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the surface.	event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>09 July 2003.</i>						
	,	o)⊠ This action is						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	osed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•	consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,6-24,27 and 28 is/are rej	ected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4.5.25 and 26 is/are objected	d to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 🗍	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			• •			
-	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is		oted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner				
, —	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t				1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to				, ,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have b ocuments have b	een received. een received in Ap	plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		eceived in this National Sta	age			
* 5	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''	eceived				
	and distance detailed office action	TOT A HIST OF THE CE	artined copies not r	sceiveu.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:		,			

Application/Control Number: 10/616,836

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The application filed 7/9/03 has been examined. Claims 1-28 are pending.

2. Claims 7-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A. As per claim 7, at line 11 "the current track" lacks antecedence. Note line 8 refers to "a first track".
- B. As per claims 9 and 10, "the second track" lacks antecedence.
- C. As per claim 11, the claim is unclear as recited at line 2. The examiner suggests deleting "comprising the processor".
- D. As per claim 17, "the vertical profile view frame" (both occurrences) lacks antecedence. Note claim 7 refers only to "one profile view frame".
- E. As per claim 20, "the autopilot" lacks antecedence. Note claim 12 introduces this limitation.
- F. All claims depending from a rejected base claim are also rejected as containing the same deficiencies.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 14-16, 21-24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Briffe et al. (6,112,141).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,836

Art Unit: 3661

A. As per claims 1, 7 and 23, Briffe discloses a processor-based system and method which displays a vertical situation of a vehicle. As shown in Fig. 16, a profile view frame (546) is generated in which the profile view frame includes a first vertical profile view indicative of the current track of the vehicle (line between vehicle symbol and point labeled LILAN) and a second vertical profile view indicative of a planned track of the vehicle (line extending from the point labeled LILAN). Fig. 2 shows various displays and processing circuitry programmed to perform the above functions.

Page 3

- B. As per claims 2, 3, 8-10, 14-16, 24 and 27, as above wherein the predetermined location is a waypoint in which the current track deviates to a planned track preloaded into the memory of the processor-based system.
- C. As per claims 6, 21, 22 and 28, as noted above wherein the disclosed system is disposed on an aircraft and the display may be generated on a multifunctional flight display (see Abs; Figs. 2 and 16).
- 5. Claims 4, 5, 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 11-13 and 17-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited documents are of general interest.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,836

Art Unit: 3661

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Zanelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-9756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

/mjz

RIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4